Name of Person Reviewed _____

Team Leader(s) for case: _____
United States v. Stevens – Case 1 of 2010-2011 School Year

Self-Assessment: (To be filled out by the person reviewed)
(5 = high performance)

How hard have I worked to help the team:	1	2	3	4	5
How well have I worked as a member of the team:	1	2	3	4	5
How well have I helped the leaders be successful:	1	2	3	4	5

The participation in the moot Court simulation results in a 25-point grade that reflects your overall participation in the simulation for the case. With this in mind, what grade (out of 25) do you feel you deserve for this case?

_____ / 25

Are there any other things you wish to add before your grade is considered by the teacher and the leaders of your team? (Continue on back if necessary)

If needed, a conference moderated by the teacher between individual and team leader(s) will be conducted and the result of that conference will be the grade issued for the reviewed student.

Name of Person Reviewed _____

Team Leader(s) for case: _____
United States v. Stevens – Case 1 of 2010-2011 School Year

Leadership assessment of reviewed person (To be filled out by team leaders)
(5 = strongly agree; 1=strongly disagree)

This person's contribution was essential to the team:	1	2	3	4	5
This person was a good team member:	1	2	3	4	5
I hope to work with this person again on future cases:	1	2	3	4	5

Are there other things you wish to add about the person reviewed before the final grade is considered by the teacher ? (Continue on back if necessary)

This person deserves a _____ / 25 as a grade for their participation as a member of this team for the moot Court case.

If needed, a conference moderated by the teacher between individual and team leader(s) will be conducted and the result of that conference will be the grade issued for the reviewed student.